

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Cristina Gomila; Joan Llach
Serial No.: 10/569,318
Filed: February 22, 2006
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MODELING FILM
GRAIN PATTERNS IN THE FREQUENCY DOMAIN
Art Unit: 2624
Examiner: Michelle M. Entezari

LETTER TO THE EXAMINER

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached is a copy of a Notice of Non-Compliant Information Disclosure Statement mailed March 11, 2010 in reference to the above-identified Patent Application. Per our telephone communication, I am attaching an amended Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement as you suggested. Applicant respectfully requests that the attached form be considered and further requests the subsequently submitted Notice Of Allowance/ Issue Fee sent March 15, 2010 be placed into consideration.

No fees are believed due in regard to this correspondence. However, if a fee is due, please charge the necessary fee to Deposit Account 07-0832.

Respectfully submitted,

Cristina Gomila

Joan Llach

BY:

Robert B. Levy
Senior Patent Counsel
Registration No. 28,234
(609) 734-6820

DATE: 4/15/10

Thomson Licensing
Patent Operations
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,318	02/22/2006	Cristina Gomila	PU030259	7883
7590	03/11/2010		EXAMINER	
Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ENTEZARI, MICHELLE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2010	PAPER

NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 02-25-10 in the above-identified application fails to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d) for the reason(s) specified below. Accordingly, the IDS will be placed in the file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The IDS is not compliant with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because:

- The IDS lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e).
- The IDS lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p).
- The IDS was filed after the issue fee was paid. Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition to withdraw the application from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(c) to have the IDS considered. See MPEP 1308.

571-272-4200 or 1-888-786-0101
Application Assistance Unit
Office of Data Management